The following format for preparation of a thematic report on forest ecosystems is a series of questions based on those elements of decision IV/7 of the Conference of the Parties that are addressed to Contracting Parties. Responses to these questions will help Contracting Parties to review the extent to which they are successfully implementing the provisions of the Convention and will assist the Conference of the Parties to assess the overall status of implementation of the Convention. The wording of questions follows the wording of the decision as closely as possible.

The questions are simple in nature in order to facilitate completion of the review. In most cases they require only a tick in one or more boxes. $\underline{1}/F$ Following the questions there is a box for further comments. Contracting Parties are invited to provide a more detailed response to the preceding questions at this point. $\underline{2}/F$ This is an opportunity to identify particular successes or constraints. In particular this box could be used to identify cases where the reporting of no action or of a partial fulfillment of the obligation is the result of inadequate resources or a need for assistance, rather than a decision not to take action on a particular obligation.

The information provided by Contracting Parties will not be used to rank performance or to otherwise compare implementation between individual Contracting Parties.

In order to assist with the review and synthesis of the information in the reports, respondents are asked to ensure that the further information provided in this box is closely related to the preceding questions and is as succinct as possible. There is no set limit on length, but it is anticipated that Parties will be able to provide adequate and useful information in a few pages.

Contracting Parties are also invited to communicate any issues relevant to implementation of these provisions of the Convention that have not been addressed by the questions below. The Executive Secretary would also welcome any comments on the adequacy of the questions, and difficulties in completing the questions, and any further recommendations on how these reporting guidelines could be improved.

It is recommended that Contracting Parties involve a wide range of stakeholders in the preparation of the report, in order to ensure a participatory and transparent approach to its development. A box is provided in which to identify those groups who have been involved.

Contracting Parties are requested to submit their thematic report on forest ecosystems in this format to the Executive Secretary by 15 May 2001. Parties are requested to submit an original signed copy by post and an electronic copy on diskette or by electronic mail. An electronic version of this document will be sent to all national focal points and this will also be available from the Convention's website at:

http://www.biodiv.org.

Completed national reports and any comments should be sent to:

 $[\]underline{1}/$ If you feel that, in order to properly reflect the circumstances, it is necessary to tick more than one box, please do so. In this case, you are encouraged to provide further information in the box at the end of the set of questions.

 $[\]underline{2}/$ Please feel free to append to the report further information on any of the questions.

The Executive Secretary
Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity
World Trade Centre
393 St. Jacques Street West, suite 300
Montreal, Quebec
H2Y 1N9 Canada

Fax: (+1 514) 288 6588 e-mail: secretariat@biodiv.org

Please provide to following details on the origin of this report

Contracting Party						
National Focal Point						
Full name of the institution:						
Name and title of contact officer:						
Mailing address:						
Telephone:						
Fax:						
E-mail:						
Contact officer for n	Contact officer for national report (if different)					
Name and title of contact officer:						
Mailing address:						
Telephone:						
Fax:						
E-mail:						
Submission						
Signature of officer responsible for submitting national report:						
Date of submission:						

been	actively	involved	in	its	prep	arat	ion	and	on	material	which	was	used	as	a
				ŀ	basis	for	the	rep	ort						

Please provide summary information on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on the types of stakeholders who have

	Decision IV/	7 on Fore	st biologic	cal Div	versity		
1. What is the relative priority afforded to implementation of this decision by your country?							
a) High	c) Low						
2. To what extent are the resources available adequate for meeting the obl recommendations made?						obligation	s and
a) Good	b) Adequate	c)	Limiting		d) Severel	y limiting	
	ountry assessed the doubtions for its con						sity
a) no							
b) assessm	ent underway (please	e give det	ails below)			
c) assessme	ent completed (pleas	e give de	tails below	v)			
d) not rel	evant						
If a developin	ng country Party or	a Party w	ith economy	in tr	cansition -		
that promote t	ountry requested ass the implementation o ecision IV/7, paragra	f the foci					
a) no							
b) yes (p	lease give details k	pelow)					
Programme element 1: Holistic and inter-sectoral ecosystem approaches that integrate the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking account of social and cultural and economic considerations							
biological div	ountry identified me versity conservation orest management at	and susta	ainable use	into	an holistic	approach to	0
a) no							
b) yes - 1	imited extent (pleas	se give de	tails below	w)			
c) yes - s	ignificant extent (p	olease giv	re details l	below)			
d) not app	licable						
forest related	ountry developed met d knowledge into sus (Work Programme, pa	tainable :	forest mana				onal
a) no							
b) yes - 1	imited extent (pleas	se give de	tails below	w)			
c) yes - s							
d) not app							
forest biologi	ountry promoted coop cal resources at al Work Programme, para	l levels :					

a) no	
b) yes - limited extent (please give details below)	
c) yes - significant extent (please give details below)	
d) not applicable	
8. Has your country promoted the sharing of relevant technical and sci information on networks at all levels of protected forest areas and ne modalities in all types of forest ecosystems? (Work Programme, paragram	tworking
a) no	
b) yes - limited extent (please give details below)	
c) yes - significant extent (please give details below)	
d) not applicable	

Programme element 2: Comprehensive analysis of the ways in which human activities, in particular forest-management practices, influence biological diversity and assessment of ways to minimize or mitigate negative influences

9. Has your country promoted activities for an enhanced understanding of positive as negative human influences on forest ecosystems by land-use managers, policy makers, scientists and other relevant stakeholders) (Work Programme, paragraph 29)		
a) minimal activity		
b) yes - limited extent (please give details below)		
c) yes - significant extent (please give details below)		
d) not relevant		
10. Has your country promoted activities to assemble management experies scientific, indigenous and local information at the national and local provide for the sharing of approaches and tools that lead to improved with regard to forest biological diversity? (Work Programme, paragraph	levels to forest practices	
a) minimal activity		
b) yes - limited extent (please give details below)		
c) yes - significant extent (please give details below)		
d) not relevant		
11. Has your country promoted activities with the aim of providing option mitigate negative and to promote positive human influences on fores diversity? (Work Programme, paragraph 31)		
a) minimal activity		
b) yes - limited extent (please give details below)		
c) yes - significant extent (please give details below)		
d) not relevant		

12. Has your country promoted activities to minimize the impact of harm species on forest biological diversity? (Work Programme, paragraph 32)	nful alien
a) minimal activity	
b) yes - limited extent (please give details below)	
c) yes - significant extent (please give details below)	
d) not relevant	
13. Has your country identified means and mechanisms to improve the identification of research activities related to influences of human a particular forest management practices, on forest biological diversity Programme, paragraph 33)	ctivities, in
a) minimal activity	
b) yes - limited extent (please give details below)	
c) yes - significant extent (please give details below)	
d) not relevant	
14. Does your country hold research results and syntheses of reports of scientific and traditional knowledge on key forest biological diversit so, have these been disseminated as widely as possible? (Work Programm	y issues and, if
a) not relevant	
b) some relevant material, but not widely disseminated	
c) significant material that could be more widely disseminated (please give details below)	
d) yes - already widely disseminated (please give details below)	
15. Has your country prepared case-studies on assessing impacts of fire species on forest biological diversity and their influences on the man forest ecosystems and savannahs? (Work Programme, paragraph 35)	
a) no - please indicate below whether this is due to a lack of available case-studies or for other reasons	
b) yes - please give below any views you may have on the usefulness of the preparation of case-studies for developing a better biological understanding of the problem and/or better management responses.	

Programme element 3: Methodologies necessary to advance the elaboration and implementation of criteria and indicators for forest biological diversity

16. Has your country assessed experiences gained in national and regional processes, identifying common elements and gaps in existing initiatives and improving indicators for forest biological diversity? (Work Programme, paragraph 43)		
a) minimal activity		
b) yes - limited assessment made (please give details below)		
c) yes - significant assessment made (please give details below)		
d) not relevant		

17. Has your country carried out taxonomic studies and inventories at the national level which provide for a basic assessment of forest biological diversity? (Work Programme, paragraph 43)		
a) minimal activity		
b) yes - limited assessment made (please give details below)		
c) yes - significant assessment made (please give details below)		
d) not relevant		

If you have ticked any of the boxes in questions 5 to 17 above which invite you to provide further details, please do so here.

(Information can include descriptions of methodologies and of activities undertaken, reasons for success or failure, outcomes and lessons learned)

