# Capacity development for monitoring biodiversity policies in Africa: Joining Science and Policy

Anne-Julie Rochette<sup>1</sup>, Hilde Keunen<sup>1</sup>, Luc Janssens de Bisthoven<sup>1</sup>, Maarten P.M. Vanhove<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Capacities for Biodiversity and Sustainable Development, Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences <sup>2</sup> Hasselt University, Centre for Environmental Sciences, Belgium







# Need for biodiversity monitoring

#### We are facing a world biodiversity crisis!

We need to:

- understand its evolution and propose solutions
- develop monitoring schemes to understand its trends

#### Indicators, including temporal baselines, are crucial to:

Data

Databases

Indicators

Trends

Closing training

workshop

- measure the change in biodiversity over time
- evaluate progress towards its conservation and sustainable use
- set conservation priorities
- feed into the national reporting on international agreements such as the CDB and **SDGs**

## Focus on Africa


#### The challenge of biodiversity loss is particularly acute in Africa:

- multiple biodiversity hotspots and rich natural resources
- high direct dependency on ecosystem services
- expected to suffer an ever-increasing decline in biodiversity, in part due to a rapidly expanding population (expected to double by 2050)

## Huge gaps exist for biodiversity monitoring in Africa:

- data quantity and availability (biodiversity data richness is skewed towards the poles)
- data quality
- data accessibility (lack of collaboration and publication)
- technical barriers (e.g. availability of software or internet)
- lack of collaboration and communication at the science-policy interface

# Our approach for capacity building to improve biodiversity monitoring and reporting in Africa



Scientists \_ow / no communication

Formulation / training

Calls for projects

workshop

# **Decision makers**

Poor quality of data / no use of

existing data Lack of capacity for database

management

Lack of data

Poor understanding of indicators concept

Data rarely used to provide trends Reporting towards biodiversity strategies and plans (national or local)

Poor reporting

Reporting and policies not based on scientific / evidence-based data

Adapt policies / legislations / plans

## In brown: key partners

In black: key steps for biodiversity monitoring and reporting

In orange: main issues identified for each step

In green: our capacity development activities (further developed below)

#### Some numbers...

- 3 calls for projects (2015, 2016, 2018)
- 24 projects from 10 partner countries (Benin, Burundi, DRCongo, Ghana, Kenya, Morocco, Palestinian territory, Rwanda, Tanzania, Uganda)
- 15 follow-up awareness projects
- Southern partners involved:
  - » 3 NGOs
  - » 20 universities and research institutes
  - » 21 administrations and agencies in charge of biodiversity conservation
- 4 Training workshops (in Belgium, Benin, DRCongo and Uganda) gathering 94 scientists and decision-makers in total

# Our capacity building activities

# Call for projects

- Objective: developing policy-relevant biodiversity indicators
- "Tandem" approach (scientistsdecision-makers) enabling decision makers to express their needs for policy-relevant data
- Learning by doing + distance support
- Key themes about the sustainable use of biodiversity: protected areas, bushmeat, fisheries, charcoal

# Formulation/training workshops

- Presentation and fine-tuning of the projects
- Training about:

Training on awareness

+ Awareness calls

- » project management
  - » field methodologies
  - » use of online data sets
  - » database management » indicator development methodology
  - » science-policy interface







# Closing/training workshops

- Exchange of best practices
- Training about:
  - » communication towards policymakers and different target groups
  - » creation of policy briefs
- Common production of:
  - » policy briefs
  - » scientific papers about indicator development<sup>1</sup>

# Call for follow-up awareness projects to disseminate key results

- Policy briefs to decision-makers
- Other awareness products about the sustainable use of biodiversity (related to the theme of the developed indicator) to local communities



# Who are we? - <a href="http://cebios.naturalsciences.be">http://cebios.naturalsciences.be</a>

- CEBioS: 'Capacities for Biodiversity and Sustainable Development'.
- Programme of the Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences (RBINS)
- Financed by the Belgian Directorate-General for Development Cooperation (DGD)
- Carries out capacity building for partners of the Belgian cooperation in the field of biodiversity conservation and sustainable management linked to poverty eradication

<sup>1</sup>Published papers:

- Maarten P.M. Vanhove, Anne-Julie Rochette, Luc Janssens de Bisthoven, Joining science and policy in capacity development for monitoring progress towards the Aichi Biodiversity Targets in the global South, Ecological Indicators, Volume 73, 2017, 694-697.
- Anne-Julie Rochette et al., Developing policy-relevant biodiversity indicators: lessons learnt from case studies in Africa, Environmental Research letters, 2018.

In preparation: Challenges for policy-relevant monitoring of selected natural resources in DR Congo

Pictures credits: A.-J. Rochette & D. Akonkwa